

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT

HOUSTON

FILE NO. 120-86

REPORT MADE AT HOUSTON, TEXAS	DATE WHEN MADE 7-1-48	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 7-1-48	REPORT MADE BY WILLARD BOONE ep
TITLE W.R. WILEY; GROVER C. MARSHALL; Mrs. GROVER C. MARSHALL; J.G. LONG; WESTEX THEATRES, INC.; JOE DUHON; GEORGIA DUHON; BARBOUR'S CHAPEL BAPTIST CHURCH; CHRISTOPHER SMITH; HOWARD M. HAYES; BERT K. GOLDBERG; MAX NE GOLDBERG; W.S. WEST; THOMAS S. GRAM; PETER M. FERNANDEZ; MARGINIA MARIAN FERNANDEZ; A.F. FILKENS; IDA B. FILKENS; CHARLES H. BAIRE, dba MEYER BROTHERS, INC. (THE WHITE HOUSE); MARTIN DELGADO; A.J. D. MEIER; AGNES D. MEIER; SOPHIE RICE BRADY; SEASIDE GOLF CLUB; C.H. ARMSTRONG; JOYCE ARMSTRONG; W.R. WILEY & J.C. STALEY; TRUSTEES OF TEXAS CITY LODGE 835 LODGE; J.G. LONG; R.C. MCCAULEY; H.W. KRAKOWER; MARCEL M. AMERAS; DR. E.M. AMMONS; EARL BRANCH; AUDREY D. & ROBERT L. GARNER - FOOD STORE; CLARK REALTY COMPANY, INC.; I.S. CONNELLY; TEXAS INDEPENDENT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT; TEXAS CITY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT; ONIE L. DAVIS; PEARL E. DAVIS; R.W. KRAH & D. HARTON WELSH; EDWARD COTO; ANNIE LOU COTO; DAN HALPERIN; R.J. RANSOM; GILBERT A. DELGADO; JEANETTE DELGADO; MARSHALL SCOTT; DANIEL SOFFAR; FRANCIS DAVILA RODRIGUEZ; SCOTT MARSHALL; FRED HUGHES; LEO WILLIAMS; J.J. HERBERT & BERNARD L. LEVIA; JESSE L. RAY; M.G. ELLIS dba ELLIS RESTAURANT; ETHEL RICE; M.E. AGREE; C.H. AGREE; CARBIDE & CARBON CHEMICALS CORPORATION - vs - UNITED STATES			CHARACTER OF CASE FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT
Civil Action No. 679, United States District Court Southern District of Texas 1-cc-0/1 128-48			
SYNOPSIS OF FACTS: Civil suit against the United States filed 4-3-48 in United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, by Plaintiffs in their own behalf and as trustees for their <u>cestui que trust</u> insurer, The Phoenix Insurance Company for property damage of \$187,290.60 allegedly resulting from the fires and explosions at Texas City, Texas 4-16, 17-47. Plaintiffs' petition alleges thirty-three acts of negligence, omissions, or wilful acts on the part of Defendant's agents, officers, employees and servants in manufacturing and causing to be shipped through the Port of Texas City Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate which is claimed to be the material which exploded. Petition further requests the Court to apply the rule of <u>res ipsa loquitur</u> or in the alternative to find that the Defendant wilfully and knowingly caused to be placed in proximity of Plaintiffs' property dangerous material with explosive characteristics, with knowledge of such characteristics or reason to have such knowledge			
APPROVED AND FORWARDED:		DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
RECEIVED COPIES OF THIS REPORT 5 - Bureau 1 - USA, Houston 1 - SAAG GEORGE O'B. JOHN 2 - Houston 60 JUN 30 1948 COPY IN FILE		12 JUL 7 1948 SE/32 RECORDED - 110 EX-46	

with exercise of due diligence.
Government's answer filed 6-9-48
containing motions to dismiss, general
and specific denials, and alleging
intervening acts of negligence by other
parties were the proximate cause of
Plaintiffs' damages.

-P*-

DETAILS:

This investigation is predicated upon a letter from the Honorable BRIAN S. ODEM, United States Attorney for the Southern District of Texas, Houston, Texas, dated January 30, 1948, requesting that an investigation be conducted as to the civil suits filed against the United States Government arising out of the Texas City Disaster which occurred on April 16, 17, 1947.

INTRODUCTION

As set forth in the report of Special Agent JAMES A. FINLEY, dated April 24, 1948 at Houston, Texas in case entitled THE TEXAS CITY TERMINAL RAILWAY COMPANY -vs- UNITED STATES, CIVIL DOCKET #CA-535, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS; FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT, at 9:12 A.M. on April 16, 1947, the SS Grandcamp, a vessel of French registry, which was moored at Pier "O" at Texas City, Texas, exploded causing widespread destruction and loss of life. This vessel was being loaded with Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate (hereinafter referred to as FGAN) and at the time of the explosion approximately 2,300 tons of FGAN had been loaded into Holds Two and Four. It is this material which allegedly exploded at Texas City.

At approximately 8:00 A.M. on April 16, 1947, nineteen long-shoremen boarded the SS Grandcamp and opened the hatches at which time no fire was noted. About ten minutes later, smoke was discovered in the No. Four deep hold. Efforts were made by the longshoremen to extinguish the fire with jugs of drinking water without success and the longshoremen called for a fire hose to be lowered into the hold. This was done but before water was applied to the blaze, orders were issued to remove the hose, batten the hatches, and apply steam to the hold in an attempt to smother the blaze and avoid cargo damage. The longshoremen were ordered off the ship and the Texas City Fire Department was summoned for the purpose of extinguishing the fire. The fire steadily increased in intensity and, as mentioned above, the ship exploded at approximately 9:12 A.M. on April 16, 1947.

The SS High Flyer, a vessel of American registry owned by Lykes Brothers Steamship Company, was also moored in the immediate vicinity, and this ship contained a cargo of approximately 960 tons of FGAN in Hold No. 3. Other materials, including sulphur, were also loaded aboard the SS High Flyer. After the explosion of the SS Grandcamp, which blew away the hatch covers of the SS High Flyer, no fire was observed aboard the latter ship for several hours. The SS High Flyer exploded at approximately 1:10 A.M. on April 17, 1947 with little loss of life but great property damage.

Investigation has shown that the FGAN involved at Texas City was manufactured at United States Government facilities of the Nebraska Ordnance Plant, Fremont, Nebraska; Cornhusker Ordnance Plant, Grand Island, Nebraska; and Iowa Ordnance Plant, Burlington, Iowa, all operated by the Emergency Export Corporation, a subsidiary of the Spencer Chemical Company, Kansas City, Missouri, on a cost-plus contract with the United States Government. The FGAN was being manufactured for the Government which sold it to Lion Oil Company, Eldorado, Arkansas, in accordance with the provisions of a replacement contract entered into in July, 1946. Shipment of the material was on Government Bills of Lading from the respective Ordnance Plants to Texas City. A sales contract existed between the Lion Oil Company and the Walsen Consolidated Mercantile Company, New York City, through which the latter company sought to acquire title to the FGAN on behalf of the French Supply Council. Technical examinations of control samples of the FGAN involved in the explosion at Texas City have shown that the material conformed to specifications with very minor deviations.

INITIAL LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The records of the United States District Court Clerk's Office, Galveston, Texas, reflect that on April 3, 1948, Civil Action No. 679 was filed against the United States in United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, by Plaintiffs, as listed below, through their attorneys, AUSTIN Y. BRYAN, JR., and DAVID BLAND, Houston, Texas, alleging property damages of \$187,290.60 as a result of the fires and explosions at Texas City, Texas on April 16, 17, 1947. The petition sets forth that this action is brought by Plaintiffs in their own behalf and also as trustees for the use and benefit of their pledgee and cestui que trust insurer, The Phoenix Insurance Company. This suit is brought, according to the petition, under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 USCA 921.

The Plaintiffs and their property, and the extent of damage claimed as to such property by each Plaintiff are set forth below:

<u>Plaintiffs' Names</u>	<u>Damaged Property's Address At Texas City, Texas</u>	<u>Damage Description</u>	<u>Amount of Damage</u>
W. R. Wiley	323-31 4th Ave. North	Fr. Dwellings	
	323-31 4th Ave. North	"	
	323-31 4th Ave. North	"	
	323-31 4th Ave. North	"	\$ 2,048.78
Grover C. Marshall & Mrs. Grover C. Marshall	130 6th Ave. North	Fr. Dwellings & Furn.	1,247.70
J. G. Long, Westex Theatres, Inc.	Motion Picture	Motion Picture Theatre	4,800.73
Joe & Georgia Duhon	16 North 6th Street	Cts. of Cafe	1,568.50
Barbour's Chapel Bapt. Church	801 1st Ave. South	Ch. Bldg.	3,750.00
Christopher Smith	323 1st Ave. South	Fr. Dwelling & Furn.	1,634.22
Howard M. Hayes	628 12th Ave. North 28 12th Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling Furniture	1,099.37
Bert K. & Maxine Goldberg	128 4th Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling	2,549.00

<u>Plaintiffs' Names</u>	<u>Damaged Property's Address At Texas City, Texas</u>	<u>Damage Description</u>	<u>Amount of Damage</u>
W. S. West	411 2nd Ave. North	Fr. Building	\$ 2,835.76
Thomas S. Graham	822-4 9th Ave. North	Cts. Bowling Alley	1,150.00
Peter H. & Virginia Marian Fernandez	107 7th Ave. North	Fr. Dwg. & Garage Apt.	2,705.56
A. F. & Ida B. Filkens	204 2nd Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling	1,345.11
Charles H. Barre, dba Tot to Teen Shop	711 North 6th Street	Stock	1,197.10
Ramon Posada	110 2nd Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling	1,000.00
Bluebell Ratterree	310 11th Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling & Garage Apt.	1,416.20
John Martin, Jr.	Cor. 3rd St. & 3rd Ave. South	Fr. cafe & dwelling	1,988.44
Meyer Bros., Inc. (The White House)	415 North 6th Street	Stock of Clothing	12,230.43
Martin Delgado	201 2nd Ave. South	Fr. dwelling & Contents	1,241.66
A. J. & Agnes D Meier	1323 Texas Ave.	Grocery Store Building	1,575.90
Sophie Rice Brady	32 2nd Ave. South	Fr. dwelling & Furniture	2,887.50
Seaside Golf Club	Dock Rd. East of S. 3rd	Fr. Building	2,000.00
C. H. & Joyce Armstrong	504 9th Ave. North	Stone dwg. & Garage	2,132.84
W. R. Wiley & J. C. Staley	27 Texas Ave.	Fr. Dwelling & Furniture	3,800.00
Trustees of Texas City Idge. 853 IOOF	609 5th Ave. North	Fr. Building	1,651.32

Plaintiffs' Names	Damaged Property's Address At Texas City, Texas	Damage Description	Amount of Damage
Marcel M. Almoraz	227 6th Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling & Furniture	\$ 1,700.00
Dr. E. M. Ammons	620 4th Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling	1,152.77
Earl Branch	914 North 7th Street	Florist Shop & Dwelling	2,120.11
Audrey D. & Robert L. Garner -Food Store	901 11th Ave. North	Grocery Store Bldg.	1,658.95
Clark Realty Co., Inc.	206 6th Street North	Fr. Dwelling	2,140.00
John Martin, Jr.	Cor. 3rd St. & 3rd Ave. S.	Furniture	1,000.00
I. S. Connelly	914 North 2nd Street	Fr. Dwelling & Furniture	1,203.82
Texas Independent City School District	305-9 4th Street North	School Building	
Texas City Independent School District	314 6th Street North 306 6th Street North 322 6th Street North	Tax Office School Bldg.	30,693.77
Ollie L. & Pearl E. Davis	218 14th Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling	3,353.10
R. W. McGar & D. Barton Welsh	613 5th Ave. North	Garage & Sales Building	7,034.18
Edward & Annie Lou Coto	223 1st Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling	1,369.94
Dan Halperin	2-4-6 6th Ave. North	Fr. Dwellings	1,901.84
R. J. Ransom	205 4th/Street North	Fr. Dwelling	1,783.34
Gilbert A. & Jeanette Delgado	115 2nd Ave. South	Fr. Dwelling & Garage	1,847.60
Marshall Scott	224 5th Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling & Garage	1,847.60

Plaintiffs' Names	Damaged Property's Address At Texas City, Texas	Damage Description	Amount of Damage
Daniel Soffar	314 6th Ave. North	Fr. Dwelling	\$ 1,877.93
Francis Davila Rodriquez	322 2nd Ave. South	Fr. Dwelling & Furniture	1,409.75
Scott Marshall	402 Texas Ave.	Fr. Garage & Meat Mkt.	1,860.00
Fred Hughes	320 2nd Ave. North	Furniture	1,044.53
Leo Williams	309 3rd Ave. South	Fr. Dwelling	2,898.22
	309 2nd Ave. South	Furniture	1,200.90
N. J. Herbert & Bernard L. Levia	426-32 Texas Ave.	Br. Building	32,044.24
Jesse L. Ray	511 9th Ave. North	Br. Building	1,345.60
M. G. Ellis, dba Ellis Restaurant	625 5th Ave. North	Restaurant	
	625 5th Ave. North	Rest. Furniture	2,675.04
Ethyl Rice	123 1st Ave. South	Fr. Dwelling & Furniture	3,000.00
J. G. Long	408 9th Ave. North	Fr. dwelling & Contents	1,006.71
R. C. McCauley	202 7th Ave. North	Fr. dwelling & Garage	2,175.10
H. W. Krakower	9th St. & 3rd Ave. B1 223	Fr. dwellings	1,458.66
M. E. & C. H. Agee	107 3rd Street North	Fr. dwelling	2,500.00
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Corp.	Texas City, Texas	Chemical plant tanks & Cts.	8,828.88
	T o t a l		\$187,290.60

1. Summary of Plaintiffs' Petition:

The petition of Plaintiffs is being summarized briefly with reference to the paragraphs in the order given:

- Paragraph I. Each Plaintiff was, as of April 16, 1947, the owner, holder, possessor and occupier of all property listed, of whatever class, which was as of the above date located in Galveston County, Texas.
- Paragraph II. Plaintiffs charge that a large and multiple number of Defendant's negligent acts and omissions and wrongful acts occurred singly, jointly and in sequence within the Galveston Division of the Southern District of Texas, and Plaintiffs bring this action under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 USCA 921 in this district because of the Court's jurisdiction.
- Paragraph III. Plaintiffs charge as a proximate result of an explosion on the SS Grandcamp on April 16, 1947 and subsequent fires and explosions, Plaintiffs' property was generally damaged or destroyed to the extent set forth on the schedule.
- Paragraph IV. Plaintiffs allege the fire and explosion originated in a cargo of explosive and dangerous materials being loaded on the SS Grandcamp, namely, ammonium nitrate.
- Paragraph V. Plaintiffs assert this material on the SS Grandcamp, in warehouses at Texas City and in adjacent boxcars, was a highly dangerous and inherently dangerous explosive manufactured by the Defendant, its agents, servants, representatives, and employees at the Cornhusker Ordnance Plant, Nebraska Ordnance Plant, and Iowa Ordnance Plant. Plaintiffs assert the manufacture, processing, testing, preparing, sacking and shipping of the ammonium nitrate was in the direct, sole and exclusive control of Defendant; and that Defendant was negligent in each and all of the operations but inasmuch as Defendant was in sole direct control, Plaintiffs are unable to allege with particularity those negligent acts and omissions of which the Defendant is guilty. Plaintiffs allege that failure of the Defendant to adopt methods, etc., such as a reasonably prudent man would have adopted proximately caused the Plaintiffs' damages. By reason thereof, Plaintiffs state that the rule of res ipsa loquitur should be applied.
- Paragraph VI. Plaintiffs, in the alternative to Paragraph V., charge Defendant with the manufacture, storage, processing assembling, sacking, and shipping of ammonium nitrate and additional elements added thereto, resulting in the ammonium nitrate shipped to Texas City becoming a highly dangerous explosive

and instrumentality and material, the characteristics of which Defendant knew or should have known by exercise of due diligence to be inherently dangerous to people dealing with same. Because of this, Plaintiffs charge Defendant is absolutely liable to Plaintiffs for all their damages. Plaintiffs charge this material was placed by Defendant in proximity of Plaintiffs' property knowingly and wilfully by Defendant, its agents, servants, etc. Plaintiffs contend that the explosions and fire at Texas City were of such magnitude as to amount to a national disaster worthy of judicial notice.

Paragraph VII. Plaintiffs notify Defendant they will not be confined to specific acts of negligence hereinafter alternatively charge, but expect to rely also on the general allegations of fire, explosion, negligence, defectiveness and neglect as well as res ipsa loquitur.

Paragraph VIII. Plaintiffs allege their damages proximately flowed from and were caused by the negligent and wrongful acts and omissions of Defendant as follows:

1. Manufacturing under the direction of the Commanding Officer of the U. S. Army Ordnance Department and his superiors and subordinates, etc., excess military liquid ammonium nitrate into so-called commercial fertilizer by graining such liquid ammonium nitrate and introducing a wax of petroleum, rosin, and paraffin, and an inert material known as kaolin, resulting in a highly combustible, unstable explosive and inherently dangerous material. Plaintiffs charge Defendants with knowledge such material would be handled by persons not informed of the nature of the material.
2. Defendant, its agents, etc., shipped via common carrier this material with knowledge that it would be handled by uninformed persons, and that Defendant knew or should have known by the exercise of due care that ammonium nitrate grained from surplus military supplies was inherently dangerous.
3. Wilfully and knowingly introducing into the proximity of people and property this dangerous commodity without having tested and determined the inherently dangerous characteristics such as a reasonably prudent operator would have done.

4. Knowingly and wilfully selecting Texas City, Texas as an export point, knowing of the presence of concentrated industrial facilities.
5. Failure to give notice as to the nature of the dangerous material to persons handling same, as well as special instructions as to the most approved method of controlling fires and explosions.
6. Failure to post special guards to supervise loading and unloading.
7. Failure to post guards and other persons who understood fire control methods as to ammonium nitrate.
8. Failure to promulgate regulations isolating points of export from heavily developed commercial areas.
9. Failure to post watchmen and guards to control loading and unloading of ammonium nitrate from boxcars to warehouses to ships on April 16,17, 1947.
10. Failure to have a tug available to move ships in event of fire or explosion.
11. Failure to take steps as a reasonably prudent shipper to determine that docks and ships were equipped with necessary knowledge and firefighting equipment to meet all possibilities.
12. Creating a common nuisance by shipping an inherently dangerous material into Texas City.
13. Knowingly and wilfully making shipments of ammonium nitrate to Texas City without first determining that adequate knowledge and equipment for fire control, etc., were available.
14. Failing to exercise the degree of care commensurate with the risk and danger naturally expected to arise in shipping ammonium nitrate to Texas City.
15. Wilfully mislabeling as "Fertilizer".
16. Failure to issue specific instructions in event of fire or explosion within the area or the material itself.

17. Bagging FGAN at temperatures not less than 2000 F. in paper bags laminated with asphalt, itself a highly combustible material.
18. Packaging ammonium nitrate in paper bags with asphalt laminated layers which in common knowledge permitted increased combustion and explosibility.
19. Failing through research division of the U. S. Government to determine by reasonable diligence the inherently dangerous characteristics of ammonium nitrate grained into fertilizer.
20. Failing to act as a reasonably prudent operator would have done through the Interstate Commerce Commission in being advised of advances of science respecting proper methods of packaging and labeling ammonium nitrate.
21. Failure to give warning of the explosive nature of ammonium nitrate to persons handling same or in vicinity thereof, including Plaintiffs.
22. Ordering, directing, permitting, and acquiescing in the large concentration of approximately 2,300 tons of ammonium nitrate at Texas City.
23. Knowingly, purposely, and wilfully through the Ordnance Department shipping via common carrier the ammonium nitrate at Texas City, knowing such material was explosive and dangerous, and yet so delivering such material under false and deceptive markings and falsely giving an invoice and shipping order without informing as to the true character of the material prior to delivery to the common carriers in violation of Title 18, Sec. 385, USCA.
24. Knowingly tendering through the Ordnance Department under Government Bill of Lading for shipment by rail a dangerous material described as fertilizer in violation of Section 417 of Interstate Commerce Commission regulations.
25. Knowingly violating Sec. 146.05 (a) (b) (c) of U. S. Coast Guard regulations on "Explosives and Other Dangerous Articles on Board Vessels" by tendering such ammonium nitrate for shipment with knowledge it was to be exported on ships at Texas City without ascertaining the ships had been notified of the characteristics of the shipment.

26. Knowingly continuing a dangerous and obsolete manufacturing process which had been abandoned by foreign manufacturers.
27. Wilfully continuing to use asphalt laminated paper bags to package this dangerous material after foreign manufacturers had abandoned this method in favor of metal or wooden barrels.
28. Permitting loading of SS High Flyer with ammonium nitrate, knowing this vessel could not be moved under its own power, and by so loading and causing the ammonium nitrate to be confined in the hold of said ship, tending to speed up and enlarge the explosive and inherently dangerous character of said material.
29. Permitting loading of SS High Flyer with ammonium nitrate, knowing the harbor area at Texas City to be congested with industrial facilities with careless and reckless disregard for safety and protection of life and property.
30. Failure to give proper notice and warning of the inherently dangerous character of the material despite Defendant's knowledge from war experience. Charges that during 1942 or 1943 Defendant sought and received a memorandum setting forth characteristics of such material and how to control and use same.
31. Charges that on April 16, 17, 1947, Defendant controlled, regulated, supervised, and governed the harbor area and had the nondelegable duty to establish and supervise regulations for safe and proper transportation, unloading, storage, and stowing aboard ship of inherently dangerous material and Defendant failed to discharge such duty.
32. Failure to enforce and apply the provisions of Sec. 170, Title 46, USCA.
33. Failure to comply with Sec. 39, 40 of Title 46, USCA, which Plaintiffs charge constitutes negligence as a matter of law.

Plaintiffs charge that if any of the above acts and omissions be less than negligence, they then charge each act to be a wrongful act or omission, and that each was committed within the scope of employment of each employee, servant, agent or representative of Defendant.

Paragraph IX. Plaintiffs allege injuries and damages to property as set forth in the schedule are the direct and proximate result of negligent acts and omissions of Defendant.

Paragraph X. Plaintiffs reserve rights to file claims against joint and/or several tort-feasors subject only to admiralty jurisdiction of the court.

2. Summary of Government's Answer:

On June 9, 1948, the Government's answer was filed by BRIAN S. ODEM, United States Attorney for the Southern District of Texas, and GEORGE O'BRIEN JOHN, Special Assistant to the Attorney General, which is summarized briefly as follows:

- First defense: Plea for more definite statement.
- Second defense: Motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim.
- Third defense: Motion to dismiss on grounds of failure to show that the laws of the place where the alleged acts of negligence and omissions occurred would permit recovery, and failure to show where such acts occurred and identity of persons committing them.
- Fourth defense: Motion to dismiss on grounds action brought in wrong district because Plaintiffs are not residents of this district and acts complained of did not occur in this district.
- Fifth defense: Plaintiffs are not real parties in interest.
- Sixth defense: Answer on Merits:
- I. Defendant is without knowledge to form a belief as to truth of Paragraph I of petition and therefore denies all allegations. Specifically denies Plaintiffs were damaged in the amounts claimed.
 - II. General denial of all allegations of Paragraph II. Specific denial of negligence, wrongful acts or negligence.
 - III. General denial of allegations in Paragraph III. Specific denial Plaintiffs damaged in amounts claimed.
 - IV. General denial of allegations in Paragraph IV. Specific denial material loaded on SS Grandcamp was ammonium nitrate and that it was explosive and dangerous material.
 - V. General denial of all allegations of Paragraph V of petition. Specific denial material loaded on SS Grandcamp was ammonium nitrate, that such material is inherently dangerous, and that rule of res ipsa loquitur is applicable.

- VI. General denial of all allegations in Paragraph VI of petition.
- VII. No answer required as to Paragraph VII; however, Defendant gives notice it will object to introduction of evidence as to any act or omission not specially pleaded.
- VIII. General denial of all allegations of Paragraph VIII of petition.
- IX. General denial as to Paragraph IX. Specific denial Plaintiffs were damaged in amount claimed.
- X. No answer required as to Paragraph X of petition; however, Defendant waives no right to require Plaintiffs to assert each claim to recovery. Specifically denies Defendant is a tort-feasor subject to any jurisdiction, admiralty, or otherwise of this Court.

Seventh defense: Denial that acts of negligence or omissions on the part of Defendant's officers, agents, employees or servants occurred, but that if same did occur, such acts were performed while exercising due care; or in the alternative said claim is exempt from operation of the Federal Tort Claims Act because such acts were performed in exercise of discretionary functions or duties.

Eighth defense: Denial that any act of negligence or omission occurred, but if any such act did occur and constituted negligence, it was not the proximate cause of the alleged damage.

Ninth defense: Alleged damages were the result of unavoidable accident.

Tenth defense: Denial that any acts of negligence or omissions on the part of Defendant's officers, agents, etc., occurred but that if such acts did occur, they were not the proximate cause of the alleged injury. Alleges intervening acts of negligence on the part of others which were the direct, sole, exclusive and proximate cause of the fire and explosion aboard the SS Grandcamp and resulting damage, as follows:

A. Republic of France or the Compagnie Generale Transatlantique through their agents, employees, officers and servants:

1. Use of improper dunnage.
2. Failure to clean and inspect cargo holds.
3. Permitting loading without inspection.
4. Failure to require proper rebagging of broken sacks.
5. Failure to properly inspect loading operations.
6. Failure to enforce non-smoking regulations.
7. Failure to employ proper fire-fighting methods in Hold No. 4 of the SS Grandcamp.
8. Failure to maintain guards aboard said ship.
9. Failure to post "no smoking" signs in English.

B. A. D. Suderman Stevedoring Company, a partnership; employed by Agents of the Compagnie Generale Transatlantique:

1. Commencing loading operations before receiving a report from the Underwriter's inspector.
2. Permitting promiscuous smoking on the deck and in the hold of the SS Grandcamp by longshoremen.
3. Failure to enforce smoking regulations.
4. Permitting longshoremen to load broken bags of FGAN.
5. Failure to have broken bags of FGAN rebagged.
6. Permitting improper disposal of torn FGAN sacks.
7. Failure to employ proper fire-fighting methods in Hold No. 4 of the SS Grandcamp.

8. Directing use of steam instead of water to extinguish fire in Hold No. 4 of the SS Grandcamp.
9. Ordering No. 4 Hatch battened down, resulting in inordinate increase of temperature.

C. Members of International Longshoremen's Union, Local 636:

1. Promiscuous smoking on deck and in holds of said vessel.
2. Smoking aboard said vessel in violation of regulations including those promulgated by their national organization.
3. Improper disposal of paper bags and loose FGAN.
4. Failure to rebag broken sacks of FGAN.
5. Improper loading of broken sacks of FGAN.
6. Failure to apply a sufficient quantity of water in Hold No. 4.

D. Lykes Brothers Steamship Company, through its agents, officers, employees and servants with respect to the SS High Flyer:

1. Failure to remove the SS High Flyer from the danger zone.
2. Failure to maintain machinery of the SS High Flyer in proper working condition.
3. Failure to attempt repairs on the SS High Flyer upon discovery of fire aboard the SS Grandcamp.
4. Failure to employ tugs to move the SS High Flyer upon discovery of fire on the SS Grandcamp.
5. Failure to employ tugs to move the SS High Flyer after the explosion.
6. Permitting the SS High Flyer to be abandoned.
7. Failure to maintain personnel to man fire equipment.
8. Permitting fire to start after SS High Flyer abandoned.
9. Failure to reboard the SS High Flyer to extinguish fire.
10. Failure to move SS High Flyer after discovery of fire.
11. Failure to take precautions to prevent explosion of SS High Flyer.
12. Failure to exercise the administrative duty of Port Captain which by custom had been exercised by Lykes Brothers Steamship Company as to the general care and protection of the harbor area.

E. Texas City Terminal Railway Company with respect to fire and explosion aboard both ships.

1. Failure to enforce municipal ordinances.
2. Failure to enforce smoking regulations in warehouse and Pier "O".
3. Failure to maintain fire-fighting equipment and personnel.
4. Failure to maintain adequate guard system in dock area.
5. Failure to have fixed responsibility for administration of the port area.
6. Failure to effect the moving of the SS Grandcamp after discovery of the fire.
7. Failure to effect moving of the SS High Flyer.
8. Failure to warn individuals of the material and cargo aboard the SS High Flyer after the explosion on the SS Grandcamp.

F. Texas City, Texas - A Municipal Corporation, through its agents, officers, employees and servants with respect to both ships.

1. Failure to enforce governmental functions and authority over port and harbor facilities.
2. Failure to maintain a Captain of the Port.

The answer alleges that the above acts of negligence constituted new and independent causes which could not be reasonably foreseen by the Defendant and that even though the Defendant was guilty of acts of negligence, which is denied, such acts were not the direct or proximate cause of Plaintiff's damage but were remote acts totally unconnected with the acts of negligence of the parties alleged above.

Eleventh defense: Specific denials that: material loaded in hold of SS Grandcamp was ammonium nitrate; that it was inherently dangerous; that Defendant had any species of control over said material at time of explosions; and that the material was surplus military supplies.

P E N D I N G I N A C T I V E

LEADS

THE HOUSTON DIVISION

AT GALVESTON, TEXAS

Will follow and report action of the United States District Court in this matter. It will be noted that at the present time, it is believed this case will not be adjudicated prior to January, 1949. In the interim, this case is being placed in a pending inactive status.

178
8-13-1

Re: W. R. WILEY, Et Al, vs. UNITED STATES,
Civil Action No. 679, United States
District Court, Southern District of
Texas.

In the above case on page 6 of the report of SA BOONE, 7-1-48, Houston, it is set forth that JOHN MARTIN, JR. brings action for damages to a frame dwelling and cafe located at the corner of 3rd Street and 3rd Avenue S., Texas City, which was damaged in the amount of \$1,988.44. This action is brought by Plaintiff in his own behalf and also for the use and benefit of the Phoenix Insurance Company.

In the case entitled "J. A. BROZE, Et Al, vs. UNITED STATES, Civil Action No. 909", the report of SA G. L. KROLL, 3-7-49, Houston, sets forth on page 14 that JOHN MARTIN, JR., brings action for damages to a frame dwelling located on the East 30' Lot, corner 3rd Avenue S., Texas City, which allegedly was damaged in the amount of \$188.86. This action is brought by Plaintiff in his own behalf and also for the use and benefit of the Phoenix Insurance Company. Inasmuch as the foregoing is the entire description given for the property, it is not possible to determine whether the same frame dwelling is involved.

G. I. R. - 7

1120-304
NOT RECORDED
23 DEC 22 1949

56 DEC 23 1949

CPA

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **HOUSTON**

FILE NO. **120-86**

REPORT MADE AT HOUSTON	DATE WHEN MADE 4-20-51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3-20, 21, 30-51	REPORT MADE BY ROBERT E. HAUSER gcw
TITLE W. R. WILEY, ETAL vs- UNITED STATES Civil Action No. 679, United States District Court, Southern District of Texas			CHARACTER OF CASE FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

In Civil Action No. 679, filed 4-3-48 in USDC. SDT. plaintiffs sue for \$187,290.60 for damage to property. b7D

[REDACTED] set forth in details.
USA suggests further investigation of this case be deferred pending receipt of information from plaintiffs' attorney. On 7-21-48 this case was consolidated with other cases arising out of the Texas City explosion for trial on the sole issue of government liability. On 5-4-50 government found liable. Government has appealed.

- P* -

DETAILS:

On 4-3-48 Civil Action No. 679 was filed by Attorneys AUSTIN Y. BRYAN, JR. and DAVID BLAND, State National Building, Houston, Texas, in U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division. The complaint alleges that this suit is brought by the plaintiffs set forth in the title of this report in their own behalf and for the use and benefit of their cestui que trust insurer, the Phoenix Insurance Company for property damages totaling \$187,290.60.

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>A. J. Linton, Jr.</i> COPY IN FILE	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT (3) Bureau 1 - USA, Houston 1 - SAAG, George O'B. John 2 - Houston		120-324-2 APR 25 1951 10	RECORDED - 1 EX-56

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

COURT ACTION

This civil action was filed by Attorneys AUSTIN Y. BRYAN, JR., and DAVID BLAND, State National Bank Building, Houston, Texas, in United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division as a result of property damage caused by the fires and explosions at Texas City, Texas, on April 16-17, 1947.

The petition alleges that each Plaintiff listed in the title of this case sues in his own behalf and for the use and benefit of the cestui que trust insurer having insurance coverage on the property described hereinafter. The petition alleges thirty-three acts of negligence, omissions, or wilful acts on the part of the agents, officers, servants and employees of the United States Government in manufacturing, packaging, transporting and shipping by common carrier to the Port of Texas City, Texas, Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate with knowledge, or reason to have knowledge, that such material had explosive and inherently dangerous characteristics. In those cases where an answer has been filed by the Government to date, the answer asserts general and special denials as to the specific acts alleged.

By order of Federal Judge T. M. KENNERLY, United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, on July 21, 1948, this case was consolidated with other tort cases arising out of the Texas City Disaster for trial on the sole issue of Government liability. Following trial to determine the matter of liability, on May 4, 1950, Judge KENNERLY entered his formal judgment in which he found the Government liable. Notice of Appeal was filed immediately thereafter by Government counsel, and as of the date of this report, the appeal is being perfected to be argued before the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, New Orleans, Louisiana.

DAMAGES

Immediately following the first explosion, Mayor J. C. TRAHAN of Texas City, Texas, assumed charge of the area as the ranking civilian authority. Among other functions performed by his office was the initiation of a procedure by which a determination could be made of the magnitude of damage in the disaster area. To assist in this project he enlisted a group of individuals chosen for their special qualifications and local availability, to assemble for him such information on the subject as could be quickly obtained. The group was formed into a working

organization headed by Mr. SAM MAAS, Assistant Design Engineer with the Pan American Refining Corporation, and a member of the Planning Board of the City of Texas City. Under the direction of Mr. MAAS this group, among other things, prepared estimates of the losses sustained by the community in respect to the demolition or partial damage to physical property. After the above group had completed its work, Mr. W. V. BURNELL, Vice-President of the Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation, was called in by the Mayor to review the work done by this group. Following this review Mr. BURNELL wrote:

"Each member of the group, in my judgment, has performed his task conscientiously and competently under conditions of great stress and personal sacrifice. Exact estimates under such conditions cannot reasonably be expected. In some instances no estimates whatsoever were available. On the whole, however, I believe that the figures submitted are worthy of acceptance as a basis for a broad judgment as to the dollar losses which were inflicted upon the community in the way of damage to physical property."

b7D

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Description	Amount of Claim
W. R. WILEY (four Claims)	323-31 4th Ave. North, Texas City,	Frame Dwellings	\$ 2,048.78
GROVER C. MARSHALL & MRS. GROVER C. MARSHALL	130 6th Ave. North, Texas City	Frame Dwellings & Furn.	\$ 1,247.70
J. G. LONG, WESTER THEATRES INC.		Theatre	\$ 4,800.75
(a) Showboat	422 6th st North	Theatre	
(b) Jewel	518 6th st North	Theatre	

On July 12, 1950, Special Agents JAMES A. FINLEY and WILLARD BOONE interviewed Attorney DAVID BLAND relative to the extent of cooperation which might be expected from his firm in connection with the investigation being conducted into Federal Tort Claims Act cases filed as a result of the Texas City Explosion. Mr. BLAND advised that the files of his office and the files of the insurance companies represented by his firm contained documentary evidence which he felt to be adequate to establish the validity and extent of damage of each claim. He stated, however, that his firm took the position that unless the Government was willing to enter into certain agreements relating to the settlement of these cases by compromise or stipulation within six months after his firm extended its cooperation, his firm did not propose to make any information available to the Government except through the normal processes of the Court. This information was furnished to United States Attorney BRIAN S. ODEM on July 13, 1950, and the latter suggested that no investigation be conducted at that time in cases in which the firm of BRYAN & BLAND was indicated to be attorney of record.

On December 5, 1950, this matter was again discussed with United States Attorney BRIAN S. ODEM, and by letter dated December 7, 1950, he suggested that the firm of BRYAN & BLAND be contacted to determine if the firm's attitude toward this investigation had been modified in any respect, and in the event the firm's position remained unchanged to place all cases affected in a dormant status.

On December 29, 1950, Special Agent JAMES A. FINLEY interviewed AUSTIN Y. BRYAN, JR., who advised that the attitude of his firm remained unchanged.

On December 20, 1950, [REDACTED] was brought to the attention of Mr. ODEM, and he suggested that this information be incorporated in a report, and that no further action be taken until such time as further information is received from the firm of BRYAN & BLAND.

b7D

- PENDING -

LEADS

The Houston Division

At Houston, Texas

Will assemble and report further information bearing on these cases, when and if attorneys in this case, AUSTIN Y. BRYAN, JR. and DAVID BLAND, make available to this office information from their files.

At Galveston, Texas

Will follow and report final adjudication of this case.

REFERENCE: Bureau letter dated May 26, 1950, transmitting Departmental memorandum dated May 18, 1950.
Report of Special Agent Willard Boone, dated 7-1-48, Houston, Texas.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR FBI

DATE: May 15, 1951

FROM : SAC HOUSTON

SUBJECT: W. R. WILEY et al -vs- UNITED STATES
Civil Action No. 679, United States
 District Court, Southern District
 of Texas, Federal Tort Claims Act

Rerep of Special Agent ROBERT E. HAUSER dated 4/20/51 at Houston
 Texas.

There is enclosed herewith a report submitted by Special Agent ROBERT E. HAUSER, dated 5/15/51 at Houston, Texas, which supersedes in its entirety the report of Agent HAUSER dated 4/20/51. This action is taken due to the fact that some of the pages in the schedule contained therein were omitted.

The copies of Agent HAUSER's report dated 4/20/51 in possession of the United States Attorney and the Assistant to the Attorney General at Houston, were retrieved and destroyed. Similarly the Houston copies of the report dated 4/20/51 have been destroyed.

WB:kms
 120-86

RECORDED - 41

EX. - 97

MAY 19 1951

3 - 41
 361
 55 JUN 5 1951

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **HOUSTON**

H FILE NO. 120-86

REPORT MADE AT HOUSTON, TEXAS	DATE WHEN MADE 5/15/51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/20, 21, 30/51	REPORT MADE BY ROBERT E. HAUSER kms
TITLE W. R. WILEY et al -vs- UNITED STATES Civil Action No. 679, United States District Court, Southern District of Texas			CHARACTER OF CASE FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

In Civil Action No. 679, filed 4/3/48 in USDC, SDT, plaintiffs sue for \$187,290.60 for damage to property. [REDACTED]

b7D

[REDACTED] set forth in details. USA suggests further investigation of this case be deferred pending receipt of information from plaintiffs' attorney. On 7/21/48 this case was consolidated with other cases arising out of the Texas City explosion for trial on the sole of issue of government liability. On 5/4/50 government found liable. Government has appealed.

P*

DETAILS:

On 4/3/48 Civil Action No. 679 was filed by Attorneys AUSTIN Y. BRYAN, JR. and DAVID BLAND, State National Building, Houston, Texas, in United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division. The complaint alleges that this suit is brought by the plaintiffs set forth in the title of this report in their own behalf and for the use and benefit of their cestui que trust insurer, the Phoenix Insurance Company for property damages totaling \$187,290.60.

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>A. F. Lorton, Jr.</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
		121-204-	RECORDED - 41
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 3-Bureau 1-USA Houston 1-SAAG GEORGE O'B. JOHN 2-Houston		EX. - 97	

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

COURT ACTION

This civil action was filed by Attorneys AUSTIN Y. BRYAN, JR., and DAVID BLAND, State National Bank Building, Houston, Texas, in United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division as a result of property damage caused by the fires and explosions at Texas City, Texas, on April 16-17, 1947.

The petition alleges that each Plaintiff listed in the title of this case sues in his own behalf and for the use and benefit of the cestui que trust insurer having insurance coverage on the property described hereinafter. The petition alleges thirty-three acts of negligence, omissions, or wilful acts on the part of the agents, officers, servants and employees of the United States Government in manufacturing, packaging, transporting and shipping by common carrier to the Port of Texas City, Texas, Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate with knowledge, or reason to have knowledge, that such material had explosive and inherently dangerous characteristics. In those cases where an answer has been filed by the Government to date, the answer asserts general and special denials as to the specific acts alleged.

By order of Federal Judge T. M. KENNERLY, United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, on July 21, 1948 this case was consolidated with other tort cases arising out of the Texas City Disaster for trial on the sole issue of Government liability. Following trial to determine the matter of liability, on May 4, 1950, Judge KENNERLY entered his formal judgment in which he found the Government liable. Notice of Appeal was filed immediately thereafter by Government counsel, and as of the date of this report, the appeal is being perfected to be argued before the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, New Orleans, Louisiana.

DAMAGES

Immediately following the first explosion, Mayor J. C. TRAHAN of Texas City, Texas, assumed charge of the area as the ranking civilian authority. Among other functions performed by his office was the initiation of a procedure by which a determination could be made of the magnitude of damage in the disaster area. To assist in this project he enlisted a group of individuals chosen for their special qualifications and local availability, to assemble for him such information on the subject as could be quickly obtained. The group was formed into a working

HO.
120-86

organization headed by Mr. SAM MAAS, Assistant Design Engineer with the Pan American Refining Corporation, and a member of the Planning Board of the City of Texas City. Under the direction of Mr. MAAS this group, among other things, prepared estimates of the losses sustained by the community in respect to the demolition or partial damage to physical property. After the above group had completed its work, Mr. W. V. BURNELL, Vice-President of the Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation, was called in by the Mayor to review the work done by this group. Following this review Mr. BURNELL wrote:

"Each member of the group, in my judgment, has performed his task conscientiously and competently under conditions of great stress and personal sacrifice. Exact estimates under such conditions cannot reasonably be expected. In some instances no estimates whatsoever were available. On the whole, however, I believe that the figures submitted are worthy of acceptance as a basis for a broad judgment as to the dollar losses which were inflicted upon the community in the way of damage to physical property."

b7D

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Description	Amount of Claim
W. R. WILEY (four claims)	323-31 4th Ave. North, Texas City,	Frame Dwellings	\$ 2,048.78
GROVER C. MARSHALL & MRS. GROVER C. MARSHALL	130 6th Ave. N. Texas City	Frame Dwelling & Furn.	1,247.70
J. G. LONG, WESTEX THEATRES INC.		Theatre	4,800.73
(a) Showboat	422 6th St. North	Theater	

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
(b) Jewel	518 6th St N.	Theater	
(c) Texas Theater	101-103 6th St. N	Theater	
JOE & GEORGIA DUHON	16 North 8th	Cts. of Cafe	\$1,568.50

b7D

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
BARBOUR'S CHAPEL BAPT. CHURCH	801 1st Ave. S	Ch. bldg.	\$3,750.00

b7D

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
CHRISTOPHER SMITH	323 1st Ave. S.	Fr. Dwelling Furniture	\$1,631.22
HOWARD M. HAYES	628 12th Ave N. 28 12th Ave. N	Fr. Dwelling Furniture	\$1,099.37
BERT K. & MAXINE GOLDBERG	128 4th Ave. N.	Fr. Dwelling	\$2,549.00
W. S. WEST	411 2nd Ave. N	Fr. Bldg.	2,835.76
THOMAS S. GRAHAM	822-4 9th Ave. N	Cts. Bowl- ing Alley	1,150.00

HO. 120-86

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

b7D

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
PETER H. & VIRGINIA MARIAN FERNANDEZ	107 7th Ave. N	Fr. Dwg. & Garage Apt.	\$ 2,075.56
A. F. & IDA B. FILKENS	204 2nd Ave. N	Fr. Dwelling	1,345.11

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Description	Amount of Claim
CHARLES H. BARRE, dba Tot to Teen Shop	711 North 6th	Stock	\$ 1,197.10
RAMON POSADA	110 2nd Ave.N	Fr.Dwelling	1,000.00
BLUEBELL RATTERREE	310 11th Ave.N	Fr.Dwelling &Garage Apt.	1,416.20
JOHN MARTIN, JR. [REDACTED]	Cor. 3rd St. & 3rd Ave. South	Fr. cafe & Dwelling	1,988.44
MEYER BROS., INC. (The White House) [REDACTED]	415 N. 6th St.	Stock of Clothing	12,230.43

b7D

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
MARTIN DEIGADO	201 2nd Ave. S.	Fr. dwelling & contents	\$1,241.66
A. J. & AGNES D. MEIR	1323 Texas Ave.	Grocery Store Bldg.	1,575.90
SOPHIE RICE BRADY	32 2nd Ave. S.	Fr. Dwelling & Furn.	2,887.50

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

b7D

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
SEASIDE Golf Club	Dock Rd. East of S. 3rd.	Fr. Bldg.	\$ 2,000.00
C. H. & JOYCE ARMSTRONG	504 9th Ave. N.	Stone dwg. & Garage	2,132.84
W. R. WILEY & J. C. STALEY	27 Texas Ave.	Fr. Dwelling & Furniture	3,800.00
TRUSTEES OF TEXAS CITY LDGE. 853 LOOF	609 5th Ave. N.	Fr. Bldg.	1,651.32
MARCEL M. AIMORAS	227 6th Ave. N.	Fr. Dwelling & Furn.	1,700.00
DR. E. M. AMMONS	620 4th Ave. N.	Fr. Dwg.	1,152.77

HO.
120-86

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

b7D

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
EARL BRANCH	914 N 7th St.	Florist Shop & Dwelling	\$ 2,120.11
AUDREY D. & ROBERT L. GARNER Food Store	901 11th Ave. N.	Grocery Store Bldg.	1,658.95
CLARK REALTY CO. Inc.	206 6th St. N.	Fr.Dwelling	2,140.00
JOHN MARTIN, JR	cor 3rd St. & 3rd Ave. S	Furniture	1,000.00
I. S. CONNELLY	914 N. 2nd St.	Fr.Dwelling & Furniture	1,203.82

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

b7D

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
Texas Independent City School District	305-9 4th St. N.	School Bldg.	
Texas City Independent School District	314 6th St. N. 306 6th St. N. 322 6th St. N.	Tax Office School Bldg	\$30,693.77
Ollie L. & Pearl E. Davis	218 14th Ave. N.	Fr. Dwelling	3,353.10
R. W. McGar & D. Barton Welsh	613 5th Ave. N.	Garage & Sales Bldg.	7,034.18
EDWARD & ANNIE LOU COTO	223 1st Ave. N.	Fr. Dwelling	1,369.94
DAN HALPERIN	2-4-6- 6th Ave. N.	Fr. Dwelling	1,901.81

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
R. J. RANSOM	205 4th St. N.	Fr. Dwelling	\$ 1,783.34
GILBERT A. & JENNINGS DELGADO	115 2nd Ave. S.	Fr. Dwelling & Garage	1,847.60
MARSHALL SCOTT	224 5th Ave. N.	Fr. Dwelling & Garage	1,847.60
DANIEL SOFFAR	314 6th Ave. N.	Fr. Dwelling	1,877.98

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

b7D

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
FRANCIS DAVILA RODRIQUEZ	322 2nd Ave. S.	Fr. Dwelling & Furniture	\$ 1,409.75
SCOTT MARSHALL	402 Texas Ave.	Fr. Garage & Meat Mkt.	1,860.00
FRED HUGHES	320 2nd Ave. N.	Furniture	1,044.53

HO.
120-86

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
LEO WILLIAMS	309 3rd Ave. S	Fr. Dwelling	\$2,898.22
	209 2nd Ave. S	Furniture	1,200.90

b7D

b7D

[illegible]

- 17 -

HO.120-86

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

b7D

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Descrip- tion	Amount of Claim
JESSE L. RAY	511 9th Ave. N	Br. Bldg.	\$ 1,345.60
M. G. ELLIS, dba, ELLIS RESTAURNAT	625 5th Ave. N 625 5th Ave. N	Restaurant Restaurnat Furnitruer	\$2,675.04
ETHYL RICE	123 1st Ave. S	Fr. Dwelling & contents	3,000.00
J. G. LONG	408 9th Ave. N	Fr. Dwelling	1,006.71

HO.120-86

INFORMATION IN PLAINTIFF'S PETITION

b7D

Plaintiff's Name	Address of Damaged Property	Damage Description	Amount of Claim
R. C. McCAULEY	202 7th Ave. N	Fr. dwelling & Garage	\$2,175.10
H. H. KRAKOWER	9th St. & 3rd Ave. Bl 223	Fr. dwellings	1,458.66
M. E. & C. H. AGES	107 3rd St. N	Fr. dwelling	2,500.00
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Corp.	Texas City, Texas	Chemical	8,828.88

On July 12, 1950, Special Agents JAMES A. FINLEY and WILLARD BOONE interviewed Attorney DAVID BLAND relative to the extent of cooperation which might be expected from his firm in connection with the investigation being conducted into Federal Tort Claims Act cases filed as a result of the Texas City Explosion. Mr. BLAND advised that the files of his office and the files of the insurance companies represented by his firm contained documentary evidence which he felt to be adequate to establish the validity and extent of damage of each claim. He stated, however, that his firm took the position that unless the Government was willing to enter into certain agreements relating to the settlement of these cases by compromise or stipulation within six months after his firm extended its cooperation, his firm did not propose to make any information available to the Government except through the normal processes of the Court. This information was furnished to United States Attorney BRIAN S. ODEM on July 13, 1950, and the latter suggested that no investigation be conducted at that time in cases in which the firm of BRYAN & BLAND was indicated to be attorney of record.

On December 5, 1950, this matter was again discussed with United States Attorney BRIAN S. ODEM, and by letter dated December 7, 1950, he suggested that the firm of BRYAN & BLAND be contacted to determine if the firm's attitude toward this investigation had been modified in any respect, and in the event the firm's position remained unchanged to place all cases affected in a dormant status.

On December 29, 1950, Special Agent JAMES A. FINLEY interviewed AUSTIN Y. BRYAN, JR., who advised that the attitude of his firm remained unchanged.

On December 20, 1950, [REDACTED] was brought to the attention of Mr. ODEM, and he suggested that this information be incorporated in a report, and that no further action be taken until such time as further information is received from the firm of BRYAN & BLAND.

b7D

- PENDING -

LEADS

The Houston Division

At Houston, Texas

Will assemble and report further information bearing on these cases, when and if attorneys in this case, AUSTIN Y. BRYAN, JR. and DAVID BLAND, make available to this office information from their files.

At Galveston, Texas

Will follow and report final adjudication of this case.

REFERENCE: Bureau letter dated May 26, 1950, transmitting
Departmental memorandum dated May 18, 1950.